

The Crystalline Phase:

Formal Systems, Verifiable Computation, and the Future of Symbolic Intelligence

Louis Herlands

Program for the Futures of Mathematics

October 13, 2025

Modern machine learning systems, particularly large language models (LLMs), have proven effective at generating linguistic output that mimics human fluency. However, these systems are not reasoning agents in the formal sense. Their core mechanism—next-token prediction trained on large-scale corpora—does not enforce logical consistency or verifiability. As a result, LLMs frequently generate output that is plausible but structurally incorrect. This phenomenon, known as hallucination, is not a defect in implementation but a consequence of the statistical nature of the underlying substrate.

This paper proposes that a significant epistemic shift is now underway. The integration of LLMs with formal systems—proof assistants such as `Lean`, `Coq`, and `Agda`—creates a new computational medium in which linguistic generation is constrained by symbolic verification. In this context, claims are not merely asserted; they must compile. Statements must conform to type-theoretic structures and pass through proof-checking procedures. Informally valid reasoning must be elevated to formal correctness.

This transformation represents a transition to what we identify as the *Crystalline Phase* of machine reasoning. In this phase, the outputs of intelligent systems are no longer evaluated post hoc for validity. Instead, validity is embedded in the generative process itself. Symbolic reasoning becomes native. Execution and verification are inseparable from generation.

The implications are immediate and broad. In mathematics, the formalization of human proofs into machine-verifiable systems has progressed steadily. The `mathlib` library for Lean, for example, has formalized thousands of theorems and definitions across core areas. But until recently, this work has depended heavily on expert human input. With the advent of LLMs fine-tuned for formal domains, the prospect of large-scale automated formalization has become plausible.

Importantly, this phase shift is not confined to mathematics. Scientific domains that rely on model construction, inference, or logical deduction may also benefit from environments in which

all assertions carry an explicit derivation path. In such environments, outputs can be subjected to programmatic scrutiny, reducing reliance on human review alone.

It is necessary, however, to address common objections. One is the invocation of Gödel’s incompleteness theorems, which demonstrate the inherent limitations of formal systems. While this result is mathematically profound, it does not preclude the utility of formalization. Rather, it delineates the boundary conditions within which formal systems operate. The Crystalline Phase does not aim to eliminate epistemic uncertainty entirely, but to internalize the verification of computable claims within a transparent and inspectable structure.

A second objection concerns the scalability and maintainability of formal systems. Constructing formal proofs is often labor-intensive, and existing libraries remain incomplete. However, these concerns are technical rather than conceptual. As automation improves and collaborative frameworks mature, these constraints are expected to relax significantly. Moreover, the benefit of verifiability—especially in safety-critical or foundational contexts—justifies the investment.

From an institutional perspective, the consequences are nontrivial. Review processes in mathematics and science have long depended on expert consensus and peer judgment. In the Crystalline Phase, these processes may be supplemented—or even partially replaced—by mechanized verification pipelines. Funding models, publication standards, and educational frameworks will need to adapt accordingly.

To be precise: the Crystalline Phase is not the replacement of human reasoning by machines. It is the migration of reasoning into a computational substrate that enforces consistency, traceability, and symbolic precision. In this setting, both human and machine contributions are elevated by the shared demand for formal validity.

In summary, the Crystalline Phase marks a structural shift in the nature of computational output. Language is no longer the sole medium; symbolic code, proof objects, and executable constructs become standard representations of knowledge. The distinction between plausible statements and verified results becomes enforceable. In such an environment, hallucination is no longer an operational risk—it is categorically disallowed.

This shift will not occur instantly, nor will it be universal. But it is already underway in formal mathematics, logic, and high-assurance software. As the capabilities of symbolic AI continue to expand, we anticipate that the Crystalline Phase will define the next generation of epistemic computation.